

Wright, S., Eid, E.R. & Fleisher, C.S. (2009), 'Empirical Study of Competitive Intelligence Practice: Evidence from UK Retail Banking', Academy of Marketing Conference, Competitive Intelligence, Analysis & Strategy Track, Leeds, UK

Empirical Study of Competitive Intelligence Practice: Evidence from UK Retail Banking

Sheila Wright, Elsayed R. Eid & Craig S. Fleisher

Sheila Wright

Reader

Competitive Intelligence & Marketing Strategy

Leicester Business School

De Montfort University

Leicester

LE1 9BH

United Kingdom

Phone: +44 (0) 116 207 8205

E-mail: swmar@dmu.ac.uk

Dr. Elsayed R. Eid

Lecturer

Business Administration Department

Faculty of Commerce

Suez Branch

Suez Canal University

Egypt

Phone: +2 010 732 8890

E-Mail: elsayed28@hotmail.com

Dr Craig S. Fleisher

Chair of Business & Public Affairs, Professor of Management

College of Coastal Georgia

3700 Altama Avenue

Brunswick

Georgia 31520-3644

United States of America

Phone: +1 912 279 5705

E-mail: cfleisher@ccga.edu

Empirical Study of Competitive Intelligence Practice: Evidence from UK Retail Banking

Abstract

This paper presents the findings of an empirical study of the major UK retail banks, the purpose of which was to investigate, not only how they operate their competitive intelligence gathering activities, but the degree to which they “buy-in” to the information sharing attitude. The findings were applied to a best practice model which provides a clear picture of the current status of CI in these establishments and the attitudes of senior managers toward such activity. Recommendations are made on the improvements which would be required for the sector to be considered effective and efficient operators of CI practice.

Empirical Study of Competitive Intelligence Practice: Evidence from UK Retail Banking

Introduction

Few can doubt the increased significance of competitive threats which companies face in today's highly complex and dynamic environment. Consequently, in order to create and maintain advantage, firms must monitor a competitor's mission, vision, goals, plans, operations, products, services, new products, new services, pricing, revenues, decision-making, their decision makers, financial statements, financial situation, markets and suppliers. In such an environment Competitive Intelligence (CI) has become a valuable tool in providing a framework for the gathering, analysis and dissemination of this effort.

The CI Process Model and its Limitations

There are a number of CI models currently utilized by companies in an effort to organise their CI activities (Kahaner, 1996; Wright & Roy, 1999; Fitzpatrick & Burke, 2003). These range from a process driven approach, typically referred to as the "Intelligence Cycle" (Miller 2007) to an all encompassing, total firm, network type process as proposed by Bertacchini & Dou (2001), April (2002), Wright & Calof (2006). All CI process models though, will include one or more of the accepted generic "steps" of planning, collection, analysis, dissemination and feedback yet, as with the lack of an agreed definition for CI activity, there is no recognised 'definitive' CI process model which applies to all organisations or all situations. These models also have a distinct disadvantage and naivety in depicting CI as a sequential process with each phase following seamlessly from one to the other, with no apparent intervention or iteration. In reality, this rarely happens, as all activities tend to take place simultaneously, or haphazardly, as the situation demands. McGonagle (2007) produced a thought provoking analysis of the various process models which have been offered since the 1980's and concluded that the "classic CI cycle model" no longer serves practitioner needs, or pays attention to, the dynamic rapidly evolving environment within which most of them operate. In short, it doesn't work any more.

There is however, agreement that the CI process is not just a function in the firm, rather it is an attitude towards organisational learning, information sharing, a co-operation driven management culture and a desire by decision makers to capitalise on gathered intelligence (Wright & Calof 2006). All of this needs an appropriate structure, which enlightened firms recognise as being worthy of support (APQC, 1998, APQC, 2000, APQC, 2003).

CI in the Banking Sector

As reported some 10 years ago, by Cetorelli (1999), in her analysis of competition in the US banking sector, it is recognised that banks are employing some aspects of CI practice in order to answer the pressing need for increasing, and increasingly relevant, information about their competitive environment, competitor insight and competitors. Banks are also using CI to assess strategic opportunities by locating in areas with the desired demographic and economic attributes, identifying appropriate firms with which to partner, joint venture or merge, all in an effort to gain a foothold in those areas (Vella and McGonagle, 1986).

Three short, practitioner articles were written in the late 80s/early-mid 90s which “teased” the banking sector with the notion of CI (Myers, 1993, McHugh, 1986, Landau, 1995, Boucher, 1996), with one more recent white paper type piece by Bernstel (2004). This latter article looked at the more macro subject of sizing up the competition in the banking sector but also puts forward the case for the value of CI in the context of two short case studies.

Journal articles which were published in the mid-late 80s were MacMillan *et al* (1985) which focused on competitors’ responses to new products in the commercial banking sector, using the case study approach, Kenneth & Wong (1988) who produced competitive marketing profiles of differing financial services structures in the US, and Pottruck (1988) who reminded the banking community that they should be using their information as strategic weapons. In the 1990s, Kitchen & Dawes (1995) looked at MIS in small building societies and Ruíz (1998) presented first, a strategic group analysis of Spanish savings banks. Ruíz (1999) followed this with a dynamic analysis of competition in marketing, using strategic groups in Spanish banking as the focal point. Virgoana & Purusitawati (1998) also presented a discursive paper which linked the terms “technology watch” and CI in the banking sector, but incorrectly termed this as “benchmarking”.

In the past 10 years there has been a shift towards understanding what drives competition in the sector. At one end of the spectrum, Laeven & Claessens (2003) addressed what drives competition and Shaffer (2004) presented an analysis of patterns of competitive behaviour. At the other end of the spectrum, Lymperopoulos & Chaniotakis (2005) published work on using the internet as an MIS tool in Greek bank branches and Ali & Ahmad (2006) offered a theoretical “Banking Knowledge Management Model” which was subsequently applied to case studies of two Malaysian banks, Tiger and Camel. More recently, DeSarbo *et al* (2008) looked at the underlying dimensions for assessing an industry’s competitive structure, using public banks in the New York-New Jersey-Pennsylvania (NY-NJ-PA) tri-state area as their sample frame.

As can be seen from the above, empirical studies on the practice of CI in the banking sector, regardless of continent, are, at best, minimal. This is in spite of the fact that a successful banking operation is vital for any country and any community. Bear in mind also the ease with which a bank’s officials, business development officers and customer service representatives connect with their customers, and the frequent opportunities which arise when CI on better deals, competitor offerings and customer service satisfaction can be obtained (Bergstrom, 1992).


It is not surprising therefore, that the theoretical foundation for this study was found in the CI literature rather than the banking literature.

Research Methodology

The main research questions were determined having due regard for the ‘best practice’ model developed from a study of CI active firms in the UK by Wright *et al* (2002) and also integrated in Wright *et al* (2004). The findings of that study revealed that UK firms operated at many different levels with regard to the four strands of study: Attitude, Gathering, Use & Location. Whilst appreciating that not all firms can immediately go from a cold start to the ideal situation, nor would it make sense to recommend this as universally ideal, it was

possible to conclude a structure for a utopian CI situation across the four strands. This is indicated by the shaded boxes in Figure 1.

Figure 1 - The Wright-Pickton Best Practice Model for Effective CI



The framework shown above, and articulated in Appendix 1, was considered to be the most appropriate model to use, given that the empirical study and results which produced it, was conducted in the same country as that reported here. The state of understanding and sophistication regarding CI could safely be assumed to be similar, and there were no language or interpretation differences. This framework has also been used successfully by other researchers as a suitable basis to assess CI effectiveness, for example, Badr (2003), April & Bessa (2006), Lui & Wang (2008), Hudson & Smith (2008). Whilst it was not intended to classify each individual bank against this typology, the framework provided guidance on the areas on which questions could be asked. Subsequent analysis of responses enabled a general allocation to be made within that Typology which is presented in the conclusions section.

Identification of Interview Sample

It was not possible to identify, among the entire population of senior UK banking executives, those responsible for CI. Therefore this study selected the big seven UK banks and a series of interviews were conducted with senior UK banking executives which allowed discussion to be focussed on areas of particular interest, and permitted the selection of interviewees according to relevance as opposed to the degree to which they represented a status quo. "Snowballing", in which interviewees are asked to identify other informants who they felt would be good sources of information, was used. This helped identify recognised experts within each bank: Abbey National, Alliance & Leicester, Barclays, Halifax/Bank of Scotland, HSBC, Lloyds TSB, and Nat West/Royal Bank of Scotland. Each bank was randomly allocated a letter code for the purposes of analysis and reporting. When looking at the various features of case study research, Yin (1994) reminds us that the interviewing of informants who play a key role in the organisation is a critical element in determining a good result. This validated the choice of interview participants.

Findings and Analysis

An analysis of responses to the research questions produced the following summary for the sector as a whole. It was then possible to allocate these to the Wright-Pickton Best Practice Model. This is shown in Table 2.

Table 2 - Summary of Findings

Research Questions	Most Common Response	Wright-Pickton Best Practice Verdict
What is the longevity of intelligence use in your bank?	Over 10 years	Task Driven Attitude
What terminology is used for the intelligence gathering process?	Competitor Intelligence	Task Driven Attitude
What is the process and/or procedures for acquiring intelligence	No particular procedure	Ad-Hoc Location
What are the reasons for intelligence gathering?	Understanding markets and trends	Joneses Users
What is the purpose of intelligence gathering in your bank?	Strategic Planning	Tactical Users
What is the attitude of senior executives towards CI activity?	Management attempting to develop positive attitudes	Task Driven Attitude
What types of intelligence is gathered?	Market intelligence and market share	Easy Gathering
What sources of intelligence are used?	Public domains, internet	Easy Gathering
What methods of analysis are used?	Elementary	Joneses Users
What dissemination methods are employed?	Interpersonal	Knee-Jerk Users
What accuracy mechanisms are employed?	Triangulation	Jones/Knee Jerk Users

Conclusions

Data analysis convincingly showed that banks regard CI as one of the underpinning factors of their business operations, especially in the degree to which they can make competitive offerings to customers. There was a clear recognition that a good CI system leads to increased revenues and profitability, and consequently to increased share value. However, there was little evidence of high-level commitment to fund the establishment of the right processes, procedures and training for this to be accomplished.

It would appear that the concept of CI is an area that is increasingly being recognised in the financial services industry in general and banking in particular. Even though the basic foundations of CI have existed for a long time, the management and implementation framework still needs improvement.

Recommendations

It is now possible to overlay the findings against the Wright-Pickton Best Practice Model and suggest what actions are now required for the sector to improve its performance.

Table 3 - Improvements Required for the UK Retail Banking Sector

Typology Element	Sector Verdict	Desired Best Practice State	Commentary
Attitude Type	Task Driven Attitude	Strategic Attitude	A task driven attitude is very comfortable. Questions are asked, and answered with little value added. The supportive attitude needs to embrace all strategic issues and be seen as essential for future success, otherwise the CI effort will never mature beyond a “stick-fetching” activity
Gathering Type	Easy Gathering	Hunter Gathering	Too much effort being spent on easy tasks producing volume not value. More focus on “need to know” rather than “like to know” will produce more intelligent intelligence
User Type	Joneses User Knee-Jerk User Tactical User	Strategic User	The sector is confused about what intelligence is used for. Although the respondents think they are inputting to strategic decision, their understanding of a strategic issue is misplaced and there is no evidence to support their claims. If the sector really does want to embrace CI at the strategic level, then it has to include all levels of staff, the CSF’s have to be articulated and shared, and a management culture of trust and openness has to be maintained
Location Type	Ad-Hoc Location	Designated Location	The firms in this sector need to define roles, responsibilities and establish a defined location for the collection, interpretation and dissemination of all competitive intelligence elements. Only then can economies of scale be achieved, duplication of effort eliminated and the technical and cognitive skills of full time staff be developed

CI provides banks with actionable intelligence that can help them strategise and make effective decisions in the face of constant challenges thrown up by a dynamic, challenging and competitive market, shrinking global boundaries and increasingly customer-centred markets. This would also have the added benefit of not only encouraging, but actually

requiring decision makers to think through the consequences of their actions, before, rather than after, the event.

References

- Ali, H.M. and Ahmad, N.H (2006), Knowledge Management in Malaysian Banks: A New Paradigm”, *Journal of Knowledge Management Practice*, 7(3) Retrieved 5th February 2009 from: <http://www.tlinc.com/article120.htm>
- APQC International Benchmarking Clearinghouse (1998), *Managing Competitive Intelligence Knowledge in a Global Economy*, Houston: American Productivity & Quality Center
- APQC International Benchmarking Clearinghouse (2000), *Developing a Successful Competitive Intelligence Program: Enabling Action, Realizing Results*, Houston: American Productivity & Quality Center
- APQC International Benchmarking Clearinghouse (2003), *User-Driven Competitive Intelligence: Crafting the Value Proposition*, (Houston, TX: American Productivity & Quality Center
- April, K. (2002), “Guidelines for Developing a K-Strategy”, *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 6(5), 445-456
- April, K. and Bessa, J. (2006), “A Critique of the Strategic Competitive Intelligence Process within a Global Energy Multinational”, *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 4(2), 86-99
- Badr A, (2003), *The Role of Competitive Intelligence in Formulating Marketing Strategy*, Doctor of Philosophy Thesis, Leicester Business School, De Montfort University
- Bergstrom, A. (1992), “Business Intelligence: A Strategic Advantage”, *ABA Bank Marketing*, 24(10), 28-31
- Bernstel, J.B. (2004), “Sizing Up the Competition,” *Bank Marketing*, 36(6), 16-21
- Bertacchini, Y. and Dou, H. (2001), ‘The Territorial Competitive Intelligence: A Network Concept’, *Actes du Colloque VSST 2001*, Barcelona, Universite Politecnica de Catalunya
- Boucher, J. (1996). “Using Information Services to Sneak a Peak at the Competition,” *Bank Marketing*, 28(3), 32-35
- Cetorelli, N. (1999), “Competitive Analysis in Banking: Appraisal of the Methodologies”, *Economic Perspectives*, 23(1), 2-15
- DeSarbo, W.S, Grewal, R., Hwang, H and Wang, Q. (2008), “The Simultaneous Identification of Strategic/Performance Groups and Underlying Dimensions for Assessing an Industry’s Competitive Structure”. *Journal of Modelling in Management*, 3(3), 220-248
- Fitzpatrick, W.M. and Burke, D.R. (2003), “Competitive Intelligence, Corporate security and the Virtual Organisation”, *Advances in Competitiveness Research*, 11(1), 20-30
- Hudson, S. and Smith, J.R. (2008), “Assessing Competitive Intelligence Practices in a Non-profit Organization”, *Proceedings of 2nd European Competitive Intelligence Symposium*, 27th & 28th March, Lisbon, Portugal
- Kahaner, L. (1996), *Competitive Intelligence: How to Gather, Analyse, and Use Information to Move Your Business to the Top*, Carmichael, CA, Touchstone Books
- Kenneth, T.R. and Wong, J.P. (1988), “The Competitive Marketing Profile of Banks, Savings and Loans, and Credit Unions,” *Journal of Professional Services Marketing*, 3(3/4), 107-121

- Kitchen, P.J. and Dawes, J.F. (1995), "Marketing Information Systems in Smaller Building Societies," *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 3(8), 3-9
- Laeven, L.A and Claessens, S. (2003), "What Drives Bank Competition? Some International Evidence", *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 3113*
- Landau, N. (1995), "Managing Bankers' Intelligence," *International Business*, February, 22-25
- Liu, C-H and Wang, C-C, (2008), "Forecast Competitor Service Strategy with Service Taxonomy and CI Data", *European Journal of Marketing*, 42(7/8), 746-765
- Lymperopoulos, C. and Chaniotakis, I.E. (2005), "Factors Affecting Acceptance of the Internet as a Marketing Intelligence Tool Among Employees of Greek Bank Branches," *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 23(6), 484-505
- MacMillan, I., McCaffery, M.L. and Van Wyck, G. (1985), "Competitors' Responses to Easily Imitated New Products: Exploring Commercial Banking Product Introduction," *Strategic Management Journal*, 6(1), 75-86
- McGonagle, J.J. (2007), "An Examination of the 'Classic' CI Model", *Journal of Competitive Intelligence and Management*, 4(2), 71-86
- McHugh, R.G. (1986), "Sales Management Up-date: Gathering Competitive Intelligence and Other Problems," *Bank Marketing*, 18(6), 10-12
- Miller, S.H. (2007), "*Competitive Intelligence – An Overview*", Society of Competitive Intelligence Professionals, Alexandria, VA
- Myers, J.L. (1993), "Three Keys to Competitive Intelligence," *Bank Marketing*, 25(8), 28-32
- Pottruck, D.S. (1988), "Turning Information into Strategic Marketing Weapons," *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 6(5), 49-56
- Ruíz, F.J.M. (1998), "Strategic Group Analysis in Strategic Marketing: An Application to Spanish Savings Banks," *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, 6(4), 277-286
- Ruíz, F.J.M. (1999), "Dynamic Analysis of Competition in Marketing: Strategic Groups in Spanish Banking", *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 17(5), 233-250
- Shaffer, S. (2004), "Patterns of Competition in Banking", *Journal of Economics and Business*, 56(4), 287-313
- Vella, C.M. and McGonagle, J.J. (1986), "Just Call Your Computer 007", *Bank Marketing Magazine*, June, 36-39
- Virgoana, M. and Purusitawati, P.D. (1998), "Application and Utilization of Technology Watch and Competitive Intelligence on Banking Sector," *International Journal of Information Sciences for Decision Making*, 2(April), 1-10
- Wright, P.C. and Roy, G. (1999), "Industrial Espionage and Competitive Intelligence: One You Do; One You Do Not", *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 11(2), 53-59
- Wright, S. and Calof, J.L. (2006), "The Quest for Competitive, Business and Marketing Intelligence: A Country Comparison of Current Practice", *European Journal of Marketing*, 40(5/6), 453-465
- Wright, S., Pickton, D.W. and Callow, J. (2002), "Competitive Intelligence in UK firms: A Typology", *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, 20(6), 349-360
- Wright, S., Badr, A., Weiss, A.W. and Pickton, D.W. (2004), "Competitive Intelligence Through UK Eyes", *Journal of Competitive Intelligence and Management*, 2(2), 68-87
- Yin, R.K. (1994), *Case Study Research, Design and Methods*, 2/E, London, Sage Publications

Appendix 1

Wright-Pickton Typology - Attitude Descriptors

Immune Attitude	Too busy thinking about today to worry about tomorrow. Thinks that CI is a waste of time. Minimal or no support from either top management or other departments.
Task Driven Attitude	Finding answers to specific questions and extending what the firm knows about its competitors, usually on an ad-hoc basis. Departments more excited about CI than top management who don't see the benefits.
Operational Attitude	A process, revolving around the company as its centre, trying to understand, analyse and interpret markets. Management try to develop positive attitudes towards CI for short-term and personal bonus gain.
Strategic Attitude	Integrated procedure, competitors are identified, monitored, reaction strategies are planned and simulated. Gets top management support, co-operation from others, seen as essential for future success.

Wright-Pickton Typology - Gathering Descriptors

Easy Gathering	Firms which use general publications and/or specific industry periodicals and think these constitute exhaustive information. Unlikely to commit resources to obtain difficult or costly information
Hunter Gathering	Firms which realise that CI needs extra, sustained effort than Easy Gathering. Resources are available to allow staff to act within reasonable cost parameters. Intellectual effort is supported.

Wright-Pickton Typology - Use Descriptors

Joneses User	Firms trying to obtain answers to disparate questions with no organisational learning taking place. Has commissioned a CI report from a consultant because that is what everybody else has done.
Knee Jerk User	Firms which obtain some CI data, fail to assess its quality or impact, yet act immediately. Can often lead to wasted and inappropriate effort, sometimes with damaging results.
Tactical User	CI mostly used to inform tactical measures such as price changes, promotional effort, competitor activities in the market or segment, yet is acutely aware of its potential value to the business.
Strategic User	CI is used to identify opportunities/threats in the industry and address "what if" questions. All levels of staff know the firm's CSFs, open management culture which displays trust and encourages involvement.

Wright-Pickton Typology - Location Descriptors

Ad-Hoc Location	No dedicated CI unit. Intelligence activities, where undertaken are on an ad-hoc basis, subsumed into other departments, with intermittent or non-existent sharing policies.
Designated Location	Firms with a specific intelligence unit, full time staff, dedicated roles, addressing agreed strategic issues. Staff have easy access to decision makers, status is not a barrier to effective communication.

